Before the

MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400005 Tel. 022 22163964/65/69

Email: mercindia@merc.gov.in Website: www. merc.gov.in

Dated: 9 February 2024

CORAM: Sanjay Kumar, Chairperson

Anand M. Limaye, Member Surendra J. Biyani, Member

Case No. 142 of 2023

Petition of Maharashtra State Load Despatch Centre seeking approval for the Procedure for operationalization of spinning reserve in the State of Maharashtra, as per Order in Case No. 85 of 2022

Maharashtra State Load Despatch Centre Petitioner	
Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Ltd.	Respondent No. 1
BEST Undertaking	Respondent No.2
Adani Electricity Mumbai LtdDistribution	Respondent No. 3
Tata Power Company LtdDistribution	Respondent No. 4
Tata Power Company Ltd Generation	Respondent No. 5
Maharashtra State Power Generation Company Ltd.	Respondent No. 6
Adani Electricity Mumbai LtdGeneration	Respondent No. 7
Adani Power Maharashtra Ltd.	Respondent No. 8
RattanIndia Power Ltd.	Respondent No. 9
JSW Energy Ltd.	Respondent No. 10
Sai Wardha Power Generation Pvt. Ltd.	Respondent No. 11
Appearance:	
For the Petitioner	Smt. Vrushali Maindad ((Adv.)
Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Ltd.	Shri Harinder Toor (Adv.)
For BEST Undertaking	Dr. R.D. Patsute (Rep.)

Adani Electricity Mumbai LtdDistribution	Shri Abaji Nararkar (Rep.)
Tata Power Company LtdDistribution	Smt. Hawwa Inamdar (Rep.)
Tata Power Company Ltd Generation	Mr. Girish Pendekar (Rep.)
Maharashtra State Power Generation Company Ltd	Mr. Prasanna Kotecha (Rep.)
Adani Electricity Mumbai LtdGeneration	Shri Anupam Patra (Rep.)
Adani Power Maharashtra Ltd.	Mr. Manoj Taunk (Rep.)
RattanIndia Power Ltd.	Mr. Ankit Jain (Rep.)
JSW Energy Ltd.	Tushar Srivastava (Adv.)
Sai Wardha Power Generation Ltd.	Ms. Aishwarya Subramani (Rep.)

Daily Order

- 1. Heard the Advocate for the Petitioner.
- 2. The Advocate appearing for the Petitioner was not able to explain the spinning reserve procedure prepared by the Petitioner for which approval is being sought in the present Petition. The representative of the Petitioner also could not respond to various queries raised by the Commission during the hearing. The Commission expresses its displeasure with the Petitioner on account of unpreparedness on its part to argue its Case before the Commission.
- 3. The Commission adjourns the hearing with a direction that during next hearing, a senior officer of the Petitioner should explain its Case along with the detailed procedure prepared by it for which approval is being sought in the present Petition, objections/comments received from the stakeholders and the manner in which the Petitioner proposes to address these comments while finalizing and seeking approval of the procedure.

Next date of hearing will be intimated by the Secretariat of the Commission.

Sd/-(Surendra J. Biyani) Member Sd/-(Anand M. Limaye) Member Sd/-(Sanjay Kumar) Chairperson

