

**MINUTES OF MEETING**  
**OF THE**  
**MUMBAI DISTRIBUTION NETWORK ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE (M-DNAC)**

**Date** :- 16 October, 2018 at 15.00 Hrs.

**Venue** :- MERC, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Conference Hall

**Present** :- Dilip Dumbre, Member (Ombudsman's Office)  
Pradeep Nichat, Member (External)  
Nikhil Meshram, Member (Commission's Office)

Swati Mehendale – Tata Power.  
Nilesh C. Potphode – Tata Power  
Vivek Mishra – AEML-D  
S. P. Sarpotdar – AEML-D  
Vighnesh Gawade – AEML-D

**Discussions held**

Meeting of M-DNAC was held on 16 October, 2018 in the Commission's Office to discuss a letter received from Adani Electricity Mumbai Ltd (AEML-D formerly known as RInfra-D) seeking clearance from the Committee for releasing the power supply to the applicant "Medinee Niketan CHS" under scenario 53(a) as per Case No. 182 of 2014. In the letter, AEML-D had stated that the network of TPC-D (both at 11kV as well as LT), is located outside approx. 650 meters from proposed site and therefore only AEML-D's network exists in the area. Thus, AEML-D needs to be allowed to develop its network for this consumer.

The Committee noted that vide its letter dated 25 September, 2018, TPC-D had disputed AEML-D's contention regarding scenario classification and contended that the area falls under scenario 53(d) instead of scenario 53(a).

During the meeting, AEML-D contended that the area belongs to scenario 53 (a) and therefore there is no need for submission of cost estimates by both the Licensees based on scenario 53(d). However, if the Committee is of the opinion, that the area belongs to 53(d) scenario, it would submit its proposal under 53(d) to resolve the issue considering the urgency of power supply to the consumer.

The representative of TPC-D stated that as per Case No. 182 of 2014, the area is classified as “completely covered” only when the connection can be released to the consumer by mere extension of service line. For present case, AEML-D needs to establish a substation. Therefore the area cannot be said to be “completely covered”. It does not fall into 53(a) scenario, rather it falls under 53(d) scenario. Thus, TPC-D should be given an equal opportunity.

The Committee informed the Licensees that its decision on the scenario classification will be informed shortly.

\*\*\*\*\*