

Date:- 27 December, 2018

1. Mumbai Distribution Network Assessment Committee (M-DNAC) received a letter dated 1 November, 2018 from **AEML-D** seeking clearance for proceeding ahead with providing supply in response to 51 applications received from **Jhamjhadpada, Gorai, Borivali (West)** claiming that the location belongs to scenario 53(C) as per the Commission's Order in Case No. 182 of 2014. AEML-D stated that upon inspection, it was observed that the Jhamjhadpada area is presently un-electrified. AEML-D's 11kV mains is located about 700 mtr. from the location of proposed substation. The applicants are also sparsely located and spread over a liner distance of more than 1.5 km. Also, TPC-D's LT network is located outside of 9 km and HT network outside 6 km from the concerned area. AEML-D submitted a map indicating the consumers' location, AEML-D's and TPC-D's nearby network spread.
2. **TPC-D**, vide its letter dated 29 November, 2018 disputed on AEML-D's scenario classification and stated that its network is also in vicinity. The location falls under scenario 53 (d) and therefore, procedure stipulated under Order in Case No. 182 of 2014 regarding submission of cost estimates by both the licensees needs to be followed.
3. The Committee observed that although TPC-D stated that its network is also in vicinity, it did not deny the AEML-D's submission that TPC-D's network is 6 to 9 km away. Accordingly, during meeting held on 4 December, 2018, TPC-D was requested to clarify the same. During the meeting, TPC-D admitted that its nearby network is at least 6 km away from the proposed consumers' location.
4. The Committee notes that the Commission in Order in Case No. 182 of 2014 has stated that Scenario 53(c) would also cover other scattered locations or pockets where neither Licensee has established its distribution mains.
5. Further, although, distance is not the criteria for scenario classification as per the Commission's Order, TPC-C's claim that the location falls under scenario 53(d) doesn't have techno-commercial merit considering the network spread of both the Licensees in present case.
6. Therefore, the Committee is of the opinion that the location of Jhamjhadpada, Gorai, Borivali (West) falls under scenario 53(c) as per Case No. 182 of 2014.
7. In view of the above, the Committee has decided to allow AEML-D to proceed with releasing power supply connection to 51 applications received by it from Jhamjhadpada, Gorai, Borivali (West) in light of the principles laid down in Case No. 182 of 2014. The supply to the present applicants may be released in accordance with the applicable Orders / Regulations.

Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
(Rakesh Guhagarkar)	(Nikhil Meshram)	(Dilip Dumbre)	(Pradeep Nichat)
Convener	Member	Member	Member