

**Before the
MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai
400005 Tel. 022 22163964/65/69 Fax 22163976
Email: mercindia@merc.gov.in
Website: www.merc.gov.in**

Date: 21 June, 2022

**CORAM: Sanjay Kumar, Chairperson
Mukesh Khullar, Member**

Case No. 62 of 2022

Case of Surya Ferrous alloys Pvt Ltd under Section 142 and 146 of the Electricity Act 2003 seeking appropriate orders and directions against MSETCL and MSEDCL as regards laying of EHT Lines and necessary infrastructure for supply of power at EHV Levels in accordance with the provisions of Section 40 r/w Section 43 and 46 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Surya Ferrous alloys Pvt Ltd	: Petitioner
Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd.	: Respondent No. 1
Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.	: Respondent No. 2

Case No. 63 of 2022

Case of Guardian Casting Pvt Ltd. under Section 142 and 146 of the Electricity Act 2003 seeking appropriate orders and directions against MSETCL and MSEDCL as regards laying of EHT Lines and necessary infrastructure for supply of power at EHV Levels in accordance with the provisions of Section 40 r/w Section 43 and 46 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Guardian Casting Pvt Ltd.	: Petitioner
Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd.	: Respondent No. 1
Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.	: Respondent No. 2

Case No. 64 of 2022

Case of Jaideep Metallics and Alloys Pvt Ltd under Section 142 and 146 of the Electricity Act 2003 seeking appropriate orders and directions against MSETCL and MSEDCL as regards laying of EHT Lines and necessary infrastructure for supply of power at EHV Levels in accordance with the provisions of Section 40 r/w Section 43

and 46 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Jaideep Metallics and Alloys Pvt Ltd : Petitioner
Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd. : Respondent No. 1
Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. : Respondent No. 2

Appearance

For the Petitioners: :Adv. Anil D'souza
For MSETCL : Adv. Ashok Gade
For MSEDCL : Adv. Ravi Prakash

Daily Order

1. Heard the Advocates of the Petitioners and Respondents.
2. The Advocate of Petitioners submitted that it received Replies from MSEDCL a week back, however, reply of MSETCL was received only on the day of the hearing. Therefore, Petitioner could not submit a rejoinder on the reply and requested for adjournment of the hearings in the matter.
3. Advocate of MSETCL submitted that they would want to file the replies on the rejoinder of the Petitioners, therefore requested to adjourn the matter.
4. The Commission expressed displeasure at the late submissions by MSETCL and seeking adjournment of the hearing.
5. The Commission grants time of one week to the Petitioners for filing a rejoinder on the reply filed by the Respondents. Thereafter, Respondent may file sur-rejoinder on the Rejoinder of the Petitioner, if required within 3 days.

After receipt of the submission, the next date of hearing will be intimated by the Secretariat of the Commission.

Sd/-
(Mukesh Khullar)
Member

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar)
Chairperson