



महाराष्ट्र विद्युत नियामक आयोग

Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission

MERC/RTI Case 05 of 2017/0415

31st January, 2017.

Mrs. Jatin B. Shah
C/o B. Balubhai
Shop No. 2 Bhangwati Shopping Arcade,
Ground Floor, Kalbadevi Road,
Mumbai- 400 002.

Subject : Application dated 05th January, 2017 Submitted under RTI Act 2005.


Your application dated 05th January, 2017 Under RTI act, 2005 Sec (6)1 to the Public Information Officer Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission, Mumbai has been received to the office of the Commission on 6th January, 2017.

An applicant has sought the information of the said letter under RTI Act as follows;

| Point No. | Answer |
|-----------|---|
| 1) | Information sought is nature of justification/explanation the PIO is not supposed to answer the justification/explanation. However for your quires you may refer relevant pages of BEST's MYT order dated 28 October, 2016 (Copies attached herewith) |
| 2) | |
| 3) | |
| 4) | |
| 5) | |
| 6) | As per the decision taken by Central Information Commission in Appeal No.114/ICPB/2006 in the matter of Shri H.K. Bansal Vs Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd., New Delhi dated 25 th September, 2006, the PIO cannot expect to communicate to the citizen the interrogatory information with the prefix why, what or how. The information sought does not come under RTI. |
| 7) | |
| 8) | |

In case, if you are not satisfied with our reply, you may approach to Shri Anilkumar Ukey (Dy. Director-Legal), who is Appellate Authority, within thirty days from the receipt of the information as provided under section 19(1) of RTI Act 2005.

Yours Faithfully,


(Pradeep Mohare)
Public Information Officer

Encl: As above