

महाराष्ट्र विद्युत नियामक आयोग

Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission

MERC/ADM/RTI/56/2016/ 00372

7th July, 2016

To,
Shri S.N.Bhosale,
Flat No.A/301, Gorai Mangalmurti Apts.CHS Ltd,
5,L.T.Road, Gorai, Borivali (W),
Mumbai- 400092.

Subject: Your application dated 15.06.2016 submitted under RTI Act 2005.

Shri S.N.Bhosale, has submitted an application dated 15.06.2016 under section 6 (1) RTI Act 2005 to the Public Information Officer, Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission, Mumbai. The said application has been received to the office of the Commission on 16th June, 2016.

Application has been sought the information is as under:-

No	Information	Answer
	 The action taken on my client's complaint through me vide No. SNB/201/2016 dated 28.04.2016 against Kamlesh Vaidya Head of the new meter connection dept., received for giving 50 new residential meterese and many more in process to the Building known as KHADIJA HITECH TOWER (old name KHADIJA MANZIL) situated on plot no. C.T.S. No.425, 426 & 536 (pt) of oshiwara village on whom M.R.T.P. notice as well as demolition notice has been issued and even the Hon'ble Division Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court has refused to grant stay on the above notices, and refusing to regularize the unauthorized floor above XIIth floor (enclosed the Xerox copy of the above complaint) Which is self explanatory. The Status of the above complaint. The remarks officer by your Honour Dept. and forwarded to which dept. with dispatch Nos. and date. The Copy of the notice issued to Kamlesh Vaidya against whom my client has made complaint asking his explanation and the copy of the explanation given by Kamlesh Vaidya to your honor. How many residential meters have been granted permission to be installed till today by Kamlesh Vaidya and their Flat Nos. and the floors Nos. How many more application has been received by Kamlesh Vaidya for the installation of the new residential meters in the above building. 	Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Information can not include within its fold answers to the question "Why" which would be same thing as asking the reason for a justification for a particular thing. The PIO cannot expect to communicate to the citizen the reason why a certain thing was done or not done in the sense of a justification are matter within the domain of adjudication authorities and cannot properly be classified as information." This is not come under RTI. According to section 2 (f) of the Act 'Information' means any material in any form.
	१३वा मजला, केंद्र क्र. १, जागतिक व्यापार केंद्र, कफ परेड, कुलाबा,	पुबर् - ४०० ००५.

13th Floor, Centre No. 1, World Trade Centre, Cuffe Parade, Colaba, Mumbai - 400 005. Tel.: 022-2216 3964 / 2216 3965 / 2216 3969 Fax: 022-2216 3976 7) The Copy of the reply given to me on behalf of my client by Senior Executive of Reliance /Business Head/Senior Manager in respect of action taken against Kamlesh Vaidya on my above client's complaint.

In case, if you are not satisfied with our reply, you may approach to Shri Anilkumar Ukey (Dy. Director-Legal), who is Appellate Authority, within thirty days from the receipt of the information as provided under section 19(1) of RTI Act 2005.

Yours faithfully,

(Pradeep Mohare)
Public Information Officer